

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/062,193

Applicant(s)

TEIG ET AL.

Examiner

Phallaka Kik

Art Unit

2825

All Participants:

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) Andy T. Pho (Reg. No. 48,862).

Status of Application: pending, allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2004

Time: 1:00-1:10PM

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's inquiry regarding the papers (preliminary amendment, abstract, remarks, specification, claim, drawings) in IFW database filed on 6/21/2004 for possible cross-mailing and scanning errors, Applicant's Representative confirmed that the preliminary amendment, abstract with the associated remarks were filed on 8/9/2002 and should not have been scanned in as 6/21/2004 filing. Further, Applicant's Representative also confirmed that the specification, claims, and drawings being scanned as 6/21/2004 filing are also in error since Applicant did NOT make such filing and it was erroneously scanned by the Office.